## REMARKS

The Examiner objected to claims 23 and 24 and rejected claim 24 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as anticipated by Campbell et al. The Examiner also rejected claims 6, 9, to 15, 19 and 21 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as anticipated by or in the alternaive under 35 USC 103 (a) as obvious over Campbell et al. The same claims were also rejected by the Examiner under 35 USC 103 (a) as obvious over EP400614. The Examiner allowed Claim 22 which describes a multi-pack release composition.

Applicants have cancelled claims 6, 9 to 15, 19, and 21 which were rejected by the Examiner. Applicants have also amended claim 23 as suggested by the Examiner by putting it in independent form. In addition, Applicants have added new claims 25 to 43 which also claim multipack release compositions.

Applicants believe the Examiner's rejections have been rendered moot by Applicants' cancelling the relevant claims. In addition, Applicants believe amended claim 23 is now in proper form for allowance. Therefore, Applicants respectfully request that claims 22, 23 and new claims 35-43 be allowed to issue in this case.

Respectfully Submitted,
DOW CORNING CORPORATION

Patricia M. Scaduto
Managing IP Counsel
Page No. 39 827

Reg. No. 39,827 (989) 496-6925